

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/755,020	HITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jordan M. Becker, Regd.No. 39,602.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2006
Time: 2.30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,13,20,34,39,

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney Jordan M. Becker, Regd.No. 39,602 about canceling claims 13 through 19 [amendment filed on 27 October 2006], correction to the status of the depend claims 48 and 49 from "Currently amended" to "Previously presented", in order to allow the case.

The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 13 through 19, and correction to the status of the depend claims 48 and 49 as (previously presented).